1	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SC 9990) United States Attorney  BRIAN J. STRETCH (CASBN 163973)				
2					
3	Chief, Criminal Division				
4	SUSAN KNIGHT (CASBN 209013)				
5	Assistant United States Attorney				
6	LINDSAY CRAWFORD Law Clerk				
7	150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900				
8	San Jose, California 95113				
	Telephone: (408) 535-5036 Facsimile: (408) 535-5066				
9					
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff				
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
13	SAN JOSE DIVISION				
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	No. CR 07-	00343 PVT	
15	Plaintiff,		UNITED STATES' SENTENCING MEMORANDUM		
16	v.				
17	DORIS V. CARDENAS,		Date: Time:	November 14, 2007 11:00 a.m.	
18	Defendant.	j	Court:	Hon. Patricia Trumbull	
19					
20	On July 9, 2007, defendant Doris Cardenas pled guilty to delay or destruction of mail, in				
21	violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1703(b). She is scheduled to be sentenced on November 14, 2007. The				
22	Government has no objection to the Presentence Report or the sentence recommended by				
23	Probation Officer Waseem Iqbal, however, there are two notable differences between the plea				
24	agreement and the PSR that need to be resolved by the Court.				
25	First, the calculation of the defendant's offense level under § 2B1.1 of the U.S.				
26	Sentencing Guidelines differs between the plea agreement and the presentence report. In the plea				
27	agreement, the parties agreed that the defendant's base offense level is 6. Moreover, the parties				
28	agreed that if the defendant was eligible for acceptance of responsibility, her adjusted offense				
	UNITED STATES SENTENCING MEMORANI CR 07-000343 PVT	DUM			

level would be 4. Finally, the defendant agreed not to ask for any other adjustments or reductions in her offense level or for a downward departure. The presentence report concludes that the defendant's total offense level is 6; her criminal history level is Category I; and the applicable guideline range is 0 to 6 months. The total offense level calculated in the presentence report is two points higher than that agreed to by the parties in the plea agreement because Probation Officer Iqbal added a two-point enhancement for the defendant's abuse of position of trust pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3B1.3 due to her position with the U.S. Postal Service. The Government did not add this enhancement in the plea agreement. Nevertheless, it is important to note that the applicable sentencing range is the same for both an offense level of 4 and 6.

Second, in the plea agreement, the parties agreed to a sentence of three years probation, a \$1,650 fine, a \$25 special assessment, and at least \$85 but not more than \$735 restitution. The presentence report concludes that three years probation, 220 hours of community service, a \$25 special assessment, and \$85 restitution is an appropriate sentence. While the presentence report concludes that the defendant is unable to pay the fine of \$1,650 agreed to by the parties, it is the government's understanding that the 220 hours of community service was calculated to be the equivalent of payment of the \$1,650 fine, valuing one hour of community service at \$7.50 (220 hours x \$7.50 = \$1,650). As the parties have entered a plea agreement pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(C) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the government is bound by its terms. However, while unsure about whether the court will view the community service requirement as the equivalent of the fine and therefore adhering to the plea agreement, the government is amenable to whatever option would work best for the defendant, given her current circumstances and life situation.

## **CONCLUSION**

Based on the foregoing reasons and the fact that defendant was cooperative during the course of the investigation, the United States respectfully requests that the Court find that the applicable sentence guideline range is 0 to 6 months and sentence the defendant to three years //

1	probation, \$1,650 fine, no less than \$85 and no more than \$735 restitution, and a \$25 special				
2	assessment, as agreed to by the parties.				
3					
4	DATED: 11/5/07	Respectfully submitted,			
5		SCOTT N. SCHOOLS United States Attorney			
6		Office States Attorney			
7		/S/			
8		SUSAN KNIGHT Assistant United States Attorney			
9		Assistant Office States Attorney			
10		/S/			
11		LINDSAY CRAWFORD Law Clerk			
12		Law Clork			
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	UNITED STATES SENTENCING MEMORANDUM				